

Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 – nutrition and health claims on foods

Basil Mathioudakis Food law, nutrition and labelling DG SANCO – European Commission



To achieve a high level of consumer protection

- To improve the free movement of goods within the internal market
- To increase legal security for economic operators
- To ensure fair competition in the area of foods



Adoption

Adoption of Regulation 1924/2006 in second reading 20 December 2006

Entered into force 19 January 2007

Applicable from 1 July 2007



Implementation of the Regulation

Difficult process Need for combined effort of

- Commission
- EFSA
- Member States
- Stakeholders



Commission's effort to:

- Provide platforms to listen / discuss (e.g. Seminar 9 March 2010)
- Provide clarification to stakeholders and Member
 - States
 - <u>Guidance document</u> on interaction with other Community legislation, comparative claims, classification of claims (December 2007)
 - Implementing measures (Commission Regulations 353/2008 and 1169/2009) setting rules on individual applications, conditions of use, validity checks from national authorities and withdrawal of individual applications
 - <u>New guidance documents in the pipeline</u> on MS validity check and correct use of authorised claims



Health claims *shall*, *inter alia*:

"be based on and substantiated by generally accepted scientific evidence" (art. 6.1)

Recital 17 "Scientific substantiation should be the main aspect to be taken into account for the use of nutrition and health claims and the food business operators using claims should justify them. A claim should be scientifically substantiated by taking into account the totality of the available scientific data, and by weighing the evidence"

Recital 23 "Health claims should only be authorized for use in the Community after a scientific assessment of the highest possible standard"

Recital 26 " Health claims other than those referring to the reduction of disease risk and to children's development and health, based on generally accepted scientific evidence, should undergo a different type of assessment and authorisation"

Be understandable for the average consumer (art. 5.2)



Health claims *shall not* (art. 3):

- "be false, ambiguous or misleading"
 "give rise to doubt about the safety and/or the nutritional adequacy of other foods"
 "encourage or condone excess consumption of a food"
 - state, suggest or imply that a balanced and varied diet cannot provide appropriate quantities of nutrients in general"
 - exploit fear in the consumer"



Health claims *shall* be accompanied by information on (art. 10):

- Importance of balanced diet / healthy lifestyle
- Where appropriate, persons who should avoid using the food
- Appropriate warning related to excess consumption of the food
- Quantity of the food / pattern of consumption required to obtain the claimed effect

Health claims shall not (art. 12):

- Suggest that health could be affected by not consuming the food
- Make reference to rate or amount of weight loss
- Make reference to recommendations of individual doctors or health professionals

Reduction of disease risk factor claims shall bear a statement indicating that the disease to which the claim refers has multiple risk factors and that altering one may or may not have a beneficial effect (art. 14.2)



- Foods can only bear claims that are included in lists of permitted claims
- Nutrition claims List of permitted claims in Annex of Regulation
- Health Claims Lists of permitted claims
 - "Function" claims:
 - Art. 13 procedure => Community list
 - Additions: art. 18 procedure "new developed scientific evidence and/or based on proprietary data" (art. 13.5)
 - Reduction of disease risk claims and claims referring to children's development and health:
 - Art. 15, 16, 17 and 19 procedure => Individual authorisation => Community list



Individual Authorisation procedures Art. 14 and 13(5)





EFSA has received approximately 300 applications

- 219 children's claims
- 48 risk reduction claims
- 36 newly developed science/proprietary data

Commission has received 85 EFSA opinions

For the majority, the applicant/public made comments to the Commission – Article 16(6) of Regulation

Scientific comments are transmitted to EFSA and a response is provided

Comments and EFSA response to comments on SANCO's website: <u>http://ec.europa.eu/food/food/labellingnutrition/claims/comments_efsa_en.htm</u>



- Until now the Standing Committee (SCFCAH) voted in favour of draft Commission Regulations that:
- Permit:
- 1 claim based on proprietary data [Art. 13(5)]
- 4 reduction of disease risk claims [Art. 14(1)(a)]
- 8 claims referring to children's development and health [Art. 14(1)(b)]
- Reject:
- 9 Art. 14 (1)(a) claims
- 29 Art. 14 (1)(b) claims
- 12 Art. 13(5) claims

- \Rightarrow 13 permitted and 50 rejected claims
- \Rightarrow 23 applications under consideration



- **31 January 2008** Member States submitted national lists (44.000 entries)
- June/July 2008 Member States and stakeholders consulted (DG SANCO Advisory Group consulted 8 July 2008)
- **End 2008** Consolidated list submitted to EFSA together with ToR
 - (4185 main entry claims / around 10.000 similar health relationships)
- January 2009 EFSA publishes database
- 2009 Clarification process
 - 2145 health claims sent back to the MS for further information or clarification (6 criteria)
 - Continuous examination of admissibility (product specific / comparative claims)
- March 2010 Submission of an addendum to EFSA (452 main entry claims)
- May 2010 EFSA publishes consolidated database (4637 main entry claims)
 - Incorporates amendments to January 2009 database (missing and misplaced claims)
- Approx 300 claims withdrawn so far





EFSA published opinions in series

- First series: October 2009
- Second series: February 2010
- Finalisation of the assessment: end of 2011
- Breakdown of EFSA's first two series:
 - Substantiated claims: 182 + 10 = 192
 - Insufficient evidence: 20 + 6 = 26
 - Insufficient characterisation of microorganisms: 171 + 92 = 263



Art. 13 – Commission's approach

Commission announced to Member States and stakeholders a process based on:

- Progressive adoption of list of permitted health claims
- Progressive removal of health claims not backed by science
- Possibility for operators to present additional data where EFSA concluded that there is:
 - Insufficient substantiation to allow a cause and effect relationship to be established
 - Insufficient characterisation of the micro-organisms claimed to have the beneficial effect



Process of further assessment

Limited scope

Limited timeframe

Avoid abuse of the procedure

Clarification on the procedure

- Under discussion with Member States
- Preference for use of art. 18 procedure
- To be made public on SANCO website at the latest when list is adopted



Wording of the claims

Importance of consumer understanding

- A certain flexibility provided
- Linguistic differences may impact perception of claim
- Final judgment on consumer understanding is made by enforcement authorities

Intention to follow EFSA's wording but difficulties exist

- Some examples on art. 13 claims...
 - Folate contributes to normal homocysteine metabolism
 - Vitamin C increases non-haem iron absorption
 - Zinc contributes to normal acid-base metabolism
 - Zinc contributes to normal DNA synthesis and cell division



Implementation challenges – Conditions of use

Conditions of use

Guiding principles

- <u>General</u> → Non Product-specific
- <u>Comprehensive</u> → taking different elements into account (e.g. All related claims: nutrition claims, art. 13 and art. 14 claims)
- Example of Omega-3 related claims

Target population

- Sub-groups of the general population
 - Sub-groups of the general population with a disease?



Art. 13-specific issues

- Claims for which no deficiency is reported
- Not dietary-related claims
- Traditional use
- Extrapolation of data from sick people

Other issues

- Borderline issues
 - Food vs. medicinal claims
- Proprietary data exclusive right of use



Thank you for your attention